

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/936,683	11/30/2001	Kazuyuki Tadatomo	213578	8963		
23460	7590 11/20/2002					
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900 180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE			EXAMINER			
			ERDEM, FAZLI			
CHICAGO, I	L 60601-6780	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
		2826				
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002	DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	-				- R
		Application No		Applicant(s)	
	•	09/936,683		TADATOMO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Fazli Frdem		2826	
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cov	er sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress
Pariod for	r Reniv				
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, ho ly within the statutory r will apply and will expi	wever, may a reply be to minimum of thirty (30) do re SIX (6) MONTHS fro	imely filed  ays will be considered time in the mailing date of this IFO (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	October 2002			
1)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> 2b) \ Th	his action is non	-final.		
2a)☐	This action is the security of allow	ance except for	formal matters.	prosecution as to	the merits is
3) 🗌 Disposition	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims	r Ex parte Quay	le, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.	
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-21 is/are withdra	wn from consid	eration.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	and the second second				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)	and/	or election requ	irement.		
	ion Papers				
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	cepted or b) ob	jected to by the E	xaminei.	a)
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be	held in abeyance.	nroyed by the Exam	niner
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)[_] appr	oved b) disap	proved by the Exam	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in I		e action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			0(m) (d) or (f)	
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	ign priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. 9 11	9(a)-(u) or (i).	
a`	)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been i	received.	tion No	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been	received in Appil	cation No	nal Stane
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	ist of the certifie	d copies not rec	eived.	
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provision	onal application).
	a) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional appl	ication has been	receivea.	
Attachme					
1) No	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)  otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(		Interview Sum Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Pape mal Patent Application	r No(s) (PTO-152)
	nd Trademark Office	A - N Cum		F	Part of Paper No. 9

Application/Control Number: 09/936,683

Art Unit: 2826

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1, 3, 5-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vichr et al. (5,614,019) in view of Kawasumi et al. (JP 10178026 A) further in view of Shigeta et al. (5,729,701) further in view of Usui et al. (6,252,261).

Regarding Claims, 1, 3, 5-10, Vichr et al. show a method for the growth of industrial crystals where a method of producing large single crystals is disclosed. Furthermore Vichr et al. show the substrate and concavo-convex structure. Vichr et al. do not show the selective growth of crystals on the concave part of the substrate, nor the specific directional growth configuration. However, Kawasumi et al. disclose a crystal growth method and method for manufacturing semiconductor luminescent element utilizing the same where the selective growth of crystals on the concave part of the substrate is disclosed. Furthermore, Shigeta et al. disclose a method for the growth of silicon carbide single crystals where the directional structure is disclosed and Usui et al. disclose a GaN crystal film, a group III element nitride semiconductor wafer and a manufacturing process therefore where the crystal specific crystal configuration is shown.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the selective growth, directional, and configurational structure of crystal growth in Vichr et al. as taught by Kawasumi et al., Shigeta et al., and Usui et al.,

Application/Control Number: 09/936,683

Art Unit: 2826

respectively in order to provide a semiconductor crystal growth structure with high controllability.

Claims 2 and 4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vichr et al.
 (5,614,019) in view of Kawasumi et al. (JP 10178026 A) further in view of Shigeta et al.
 (5,729,701) further in view of Usui et al. (6,252,261) further in view of Vaudo et al. (6,156,581).

Regarding Claims 2 and 4, Kawasumi et al., Shigeta et al., and Usui et al., combination disclose all the claimed subject matter except they fail to show the InGaAlN structure. However, Vaudo et al. disclose a GaN-based devicees using (Ga, Al, In)N base layers.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the InGaAlN structure in Kawasumi et al., Shigeta et al., and Usui et al., combination as taught by Vaudo et al. in order to have a semiconductor crystal structure with better device quality.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fazli Erdem whose telephone number is (703) 305-3868. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (703) 308-6601. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2826

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

FE November 18, 2002

